UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V. William Michael Johnson Defendant	Case No. 1:13-Cr-214
	After conducting a detention hearing under the defendant be detained pending trial.	ne Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
mat me		Part I – Findings of Fact
(1		described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of
(1		al offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 which the prison term is 10 years or	U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for more.
	an offense for which the maximum s	entence is death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum pris	son term of ten years or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defenda U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or compa	ant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 arable state or local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of viole	
	the possession or use o a failure to register unde	f a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon er 18 U.S.C. § 2250
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was co or local offense.	ommitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed offense described in finding (1).	since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)		able presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another t defendant has not rebutted that presumption.
		Alternative Findings (A)
<u>√</u> (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the	defendant has committed an offense
	✓ for which a maximum prison term of Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.	
(=)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)	will reasonably assure the defendant's app	
√ (1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant v	Alternative Findings (B) vill not appear.
√ (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant v	vill endanger the safety of another person or the community.
	Part II – Stat	tement of the Reasons for Detention
evidence	e a preponderance of the evidence that	
has am August fleeing defend	nassed 14 failures to appear in the Arizona c t, when he was living here. He soon moved to avoid arrest. After defendant was indicted ant's parents, defendant called an officer and	has no stable residence. He does not have a serious criminal record, but ourts. Defendant had contact with law enforcement officers in Michigan last to Arizona, in circumstances that support a clear inference that he was d, officers attempted to find him, without success. After officers spoke to d lied about his whereabouts, in an obvious effort to mislead. Defendant's to avoid arrest create a serious risk of nonappearance.
	Part III –	Directions Regarding Detention
correctic appeal. States C	The defendant is committed to the custody ons facility separate, to the extent practicable The defendant must be afforded a reasonab	f the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a , from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending le opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United ernment, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the
Date:	April 22, 2014 Ju	dge's Signature: /s/ Joseph G. Scoville

Name and Title: Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge